The Long-Term Impact of Job Displacement in Germany During the 1982 Recession

Johannes Schmieder (Columbia University, IZA) Till von Wachter (Columbia Univ., NBER, CEPR, IZA) Stefan Bender (IAB)

Increasing Labor Market Flexibility – Boon or Bane?, IAB, Nuremberg, March 2011

Estimates of Long-Term Cost of Job Loss For Germany

Analyze Effects in Germany and Contrast with Results in U.S.

- Use 30 years of Administrative worker-firm data to estimate cost of job loss for Germany
- Compare to similar estimates for United States from companion paper (von Wachter, Song, Mancheseter '09)
- Use features of German data to address additional questions, in particular wages vs. earnings vs. time worked

Two Hypotheses: Workers in Germany Fare Better or Worse?

- → Different labor markets: Less mobility & wage dispersion
- → Different institutions: Longer UI, More Job Market Programs
- ➔ Programs help workers? Or not (Sargent&Ljungqvist '98)?

Current Literature: Job Loss, Mass Layoffs, and Earnings

United States: Earnings Losses 15-25% 5 Years After Job Loss

[Jacobson, Lalonde, Sullivan 93, Schoeni & Dardia 03, von Wachter, Hildreth, Handwerker 08, Couch and Placzek 09, von Wachter, Song, Manchester 09]

Germany: Mixed Evidence on Earnings Losses (6.5, 2-3 or 1-19%) [Couch 01, Burda and Mertens 01, Bender, Dustmann, Margolis, Meghir 02]

No Comparable Estimates Available of Impact of Job Loss:

- 1) limited follow-up periods (up to 6 years)
- 2) no comparable definition of job loss
- 3) estimates based on different periods
- 4) lack of administrative data in Germany, GSOEP instead

Two Comparable Data Sources in Germany and the U.S.:

Administrative Data: 30 Years Earnings & Firm Information

- 1) Longitudinal earnings records for large sample of workers
- 2) Firm-level employment size by aggregation from 100%

Key Advantages Over Existing Data in Germany and the U.S.

- Large national panel covering almost 30 years: 1975 2005
- Information on careers and firms (establishments), program receipt
- Mass-Layoffs (MLF) identified using worker flow data to distinguish MLF and outsourcing/restructuring events.

Approach

- 1) Replicate JLS (1993)'s Analysis of Mass-Layoffs: Compare
- 2) Analyze Time Worked and Daily Wages for Germany



Identify Mass-Layoffs at Firm Level

Job Displacement: Mass-Layoffs: Separate from employer during a mass-layoff event
Lasting 30% Employment Drop Over Two Years.
→ Jacobson, Lalonde, and Sullivan (1993)

 \rightarrow Hildreth, Von Wachter, Handwerker (2008)

Distinguish Mass-Layoffs from Restructuring:

- Use data on all worker flows between establishment identifiers in Germany.
- Define MLF as employment drops where workers are dispersed over many Employers.

Main Sample:Male workers age 25 - 52.At least 5 Years of tenure at time of job lossEstablishment size > 50

Dynamic Pattern of Annual Earnings Losses

Distributed Lag Model Jacobson, Lalonde, and Sullivan (1993)

$$e_i = \alpha_i + \gamma_t + \sum_{|k| \le m} D_i^k \delta_{tk} + f(a_i)_t \mathcal{F} \mathcal{E}_i$$

k = years since job loss (to job loss)

EventsLeave Employer in 1981-1985While Employer has MLFControl GroupAny Worker Not Separating 1981-85(Identify Year Effects) ['Stayers']Key AssumptionTrend in Earnings of Control Group('Stayers') is Valid Counterfactual

Dynamic Pattern of Annual Earnings Losses Distributed Lag Model Jacobson, Lalonde, and Sullivan (1993)

$$e_i = \alpha_i + \gamma_t + \sum_{|k| \le m} D_i^k \delta_{tk} + f(a_i)_t \mathcal{F} \mathcal{E}_i$$

k = years since job loss (to job loss)

- γ_t : time trend (= unrestricted year effect), identified by stayers (control group)
- δ_k : difference in wages before/after relative to time trend and control group

fixed effects and year effects = pre-period du- Identification of worker dummies

Long-Term Effect of Displacement on <u>Annual</u> <u>Earnings</u> in Germany



Figure 5: Total Yearly Earnings of Displaced Workers relative to Non–Displaced

Notes: For the sample description see Figure 2. The figure shows total yearly earnings of displaced workers relative to non-displaced workers after displacement. Each point is the dummy from a regression of earnings on years since 1982 interacted with a dummy for whether the person was displaced in 1982. The regression controls for year fixed effects (identified by the control group), individual fixed effects and experience.

Long-Term Effect of Displacement on Annual Earnings in United States

Earnings Losses at Job Separation 1980-1986 Relative to Non-Separators Earnings All Jobs Including Zeros, Men in Stable Job 1974-1979 (in \$1000)



Long-Term Effect of Displacement on <u>Daily Wages</u> in Germany



Figure 6: Daily Wage of Displaced Workers relative to Non–Displaced

Notes: The Figure is generated the same way as Figure 5, but with daily wage on the left hand side and is conditional on being employed.

Long-Term Effect of Displacement on <u>Days Worked</u> in Germany [Job Loss 1982]



Long-Term Effect of Displacement on <u>Days</u> <u>Receiving UI</u> in Germany



Differential Effect on Annual Earnings and <u>Annual</u> <u>Income</u> in Germany [Job Loss 1982]



Earnings Losses of Displaced relative to Non-Displaced Workers in Different Displacement Years



Discussion and Conclusion

Similar Long-Term Costs of Job Loss in Germany and U.S.:

- → Earnings losses from displacement last up to 20 years
- \rightarrow Similar size and duration of earnings losses
- → Consistent with other studies contrasting labor markets

Earnings Losses Driven Mainly by Wages

- \rightarrow Confirm only indirect information from the U.S.
- → Employment effect in Germany larger, but mainly responsible for short-term drop and recovery.

More Generous UI Differences Only Limited Effect

→ Neither helpful shelter nor compensation

Earnings Losses are Countercyclical

 \rightarrow Nearly twice as big during recessions