

# General Discrete-data Modeling Methods for Producing Synthetic Data with Reduced Re-identification Risk that Preserve Analytic Properties

William E. Winkler<sup>1</sup>, [william.e.winkler@census.gov](mailto:william.e.winkler@census.gov) 2008Nov12  
U.S. Census Bureau, Statistical Research Division, Washington, DC 20233-9100

## Abstract

General modeling methods for representing and improving the quality of discrete data (Winkler 2003, 2008) extend and connect the editing methods of Fellegi and Holt (1976) and the imputation ideas of Little and Rubin (2002). This paper describes a modeling framework to produce synthetic microdata that better corresponds to external benchmark constraints on certain aggregates (such as margins) and on which certain cell probabilities are bounded both below and above to reduce re-identification risk. Rather than use linear constraints (Meng and Rubin 1993), the modeling methods use convex constraints (Winkler 1990, 1993) in an extended MCECM procedure.

## 1. Introduction

This paper describes modeling methods for discrete data. The methods are closely related to general modeling/edit/imputation methods (Winkler 2008) in which models can easily be created using very fast, parameter-driven software. The methods and generalized software are suitable for a wide range of discrete data. The models are used in generalized production edit/imputation software that assure that the ‘corrected’ data satisfy both edit restraints and preserve joint distributions in a principled manner. Furthermore, the modeling methods use convex constraints (Winkler 1993, 1990) in an EMH algorithm that generalize the linear constraints of the MCECM algorithm of Meng and Rubin (1993). An advantage of the new modeling methods is that the microdata created via the methods can have aggregates that are adjusted to certain benchmark totals.

General convex constraints provide great flexibility in creating models that approximately preserve analytic properties and reduce the re-identification risk in synthetic microdata that are created from the models. Convex constraints allow putting lower and upper bounds on individual cells or on groups of cells. In earlier work, Winkler (2007) showed how to use more elementary methods to reduce re-identification risk by putting lower and upper bounds on both small cells and sampling zeros while still approximately preserving most aggregates needed for loglinear modeling and important joint and conditional probabilities. At that time, Winkler (2007) felt that the risk of re-identification via record linkage experiments was greatly reduced in comparison to data from some previous synthetic-data-generation methods.

Epsilon-privacy represents a gold standard in terms of preventing leakage of information and in preserving privacy. Much research is needed to justify analytic properties of epsilon-private data. Dwork, McSherry, and Talwar (2007b, first two paragraphs of section 5) provide an example from ‘census’ data in which the amount of noise added to a table having on the order of 1,000,000 cells must be on the order to 1,000,000 (plus or minus) in each cell. In this situation and most others where rigorous epsilon-privacy has been applied, it is not clear that the resultant ‘protected’ microdata will meet analytic standards acceptable to most economists and statisticians.

Additionally, Xiao and Tao (2008) raise serious concerns by demonstrating that it is impractical to verify epsilon-privacy in most situations. Specifically, they prove that  $L^1$ -sensitivity of functions (Dwork et al. 2006) is NP-Hard computationally. Dwork et al. (2006) showed that computing the  $L^1$ -sensitivity of functions was needed to verify epsilon-privacy in most situations.

The notable exception to the lack of suitable analytic properties is work by Machanavajjhala et al. (2008) that preserves an extended type of epsilon-delta privacy in a very narrowly analytically focused ‘on-the-map’ application. Machanavajjhala et al. applied clever theoretical techniques and introduced exceptionally complex computational methods that may not be suitable for most general situations.

In this paper, we slightly extend the methods of Winkler (2008, 2007) in a manner that creates a model with a desired set of properties. To do this we place a few pairs of upper and lower bounds on key aggregates needed for the loglinear modeling while placing upper bounds and lower bounds on a very large set of small cells and sampling zeros. The idea is to *target preservation of analytic properties* in the creation of the model. To produce synthetic data, we merely randomly draw from the model in the appropriate fashion. Typically, this means almost exactly preserving the probabilities associated with originally larger cells. Most small cells in the original data are replaced by sets of sampling zeros that have positive probability in the model and that approximately preserve the key aggregates needed for loglinear modeling.

There are several key points of the new methods. First, any direct re-identification experiment will only match originally small cells with sampling zeros that have very small positive probability in the models. Second, because we target preservation of a few analytic properties, we are not creating all of the key aggregates (functions) in a manner where each function satisfies epsilon-privacy. We do create an alternative to a type of epsilon-delta-privacy that we believe would make it exceptionally difficult to reconstruct the original private data in manners suggested by Dwork (2006), Barak et al. (2007), Dwork et al. (2007a) and Dwork and Yekhanin (2008)

Although the computational algorithms needed for creating the models are sufficiently fast for the largest edit/imputation applications, the algorithms need speeding up for even moderate size (50 million cells) modeling situations needed for producing synthetic data.

In the second section of this paper, we give cursory background on edit/imputation and some of the basic computational algorithms. We also describe how a re-identification experiment is performed that assures that private data cannot be easily re-identified but may not satisfy reasonable epsilon-privacy or epsilon-delta privacy. We describe how the models are created. In the third section, we provide empirical results on ‘census’ data that has been downloaded from the UCI machine learning repository and used in some confidentiality research. Although any synthetic data produced from the model can prevent most re-identification using record linkage and satisfies a condition that can be considered an alternative to very weakened-type of epsilon-delta-type of privacy, the synthetic data do not satisfy rigorous epsilon-delta privacy. An interesting experiment (beyond the scope of the present paper) would be for a cryptographer to apply some of the constructive methods (e.g., Dwork 2006, Barak et al. 2007, Dwork et al. (2007a), Dwork and Yekhanin 2008) to the synthetic data to reconstruct a reasonable approximation of the original private data. The final sections consist of brief discussion

and concluding remarks. This experiment would be needed regardless of the type of auxiliary information (Ganta et al. 2008) that might be available to an adversary.

## 2. Background

In this section we provide background on modeling/edit/imputation, need for computational speed, re-identification using record linkage, and the general iterative fitting algorithm for creating the model.

### 2.1 Modeling/Edit/Imputation

Modern methods for edit/imputation began with the seminal paper of Fellegi and Holt (1976, hereafter FH). With discrete data, an edit might be that a child of less than 16 could not be married. Their paper provided three principles: (1) The minimum number of fields in each edit-failing record  $r_0$  should be changed to create an edit-passing record  $r_1$  (*error localization*), (2) Imputation rules should be derived automatically from edit rules, and (3) When imputation is necessary, it should maintain marginal and joint distributions of fields.

The FH paper was the first to provide a method that assured that an edit-failing record  $r_0$  could be changed into an edit-passing record  $r_1$ . To assure correct error localization, FH showed that implicit edits were needed. Implicit edits are those that can be logically derived from explicitly defined edits. Winkler (1997) provided set-covering algorithms that delineated the implicit edits, the set of which can be considered structural zeros for loglinear modeling. Although a number of statistical agencies have implemented generalized FH production systems that assure the edit-failing records can be ‘corrected’ to edit-passing records, none have provided FH methods that assure that the records also satisfy joint distributional characteristics from a model. The FH suggestion that hot-deck could be used for (2) and (3) is not possible due to serious deficiencies in hot-deck that were not understood when the FH paper was written (Winkler 2008).

Winkler (2003) provided the theory connecting the edits of FH with the generalized imputation of Little and Rubin (2002). An initial routine (Winkler 1997) finds the set of implicit edits (structural zeros) in a manner that is 100 times as fast as the previous fastest algorithms of Garfinkel, Kunnathur, and Liepins (1986) used by IBM in creating a large system for ISTAT (Barcaroli and Venturi. 1997). A second routine (Winkler 2008) does standard loglinear modeling under a combination of linear and convex constraints in the presence of structural zeros. In the edit setting, the iterative fitting algorithm is a type of EM algorithm as in Little and Rubin (2002). The key aspect of the second routine is having computational algorithms that are sufficiently fast for all of the survey data situations in the statistical agencies. The final routine does the error localization (Winkler 1997) using either branch-and-bound or a greedy algorithm and then fills in missing or ‘to-be-changed’ values according to the model (contingency table) determined by the second routine. All records are guaranteed to satisfy edits and the overall set of records preserve the probability distributions of the model.

### 2.2 The EMH algorithm

The general iterative fitting algorithm is extended to an EMH algorithm (Winkler 1993, 1990) for convex constraints that allow putting upper bounds on cells or convex combinations of cells. Because the set of probabilities must add to one, lower bounds can

also be put on cell probabilities or simple sums of cell probabilities that might correspond to a marginal constraint. The general EMH algorithm has been used for unsupervised learning of optimal record linkage parameters (Winkler 1993) in which certain probabilities are estimated within restricted ranges based on a priori knowledge. The general EMH algorithm has also been used in statistical matching to create microdata that better corresponds to (external) benchmark constraints (D’Orazio et al. 2006).

In the application of this paper, we apply the EMH algorithm with several constraints. First, we perform standard loglinear modeling to determine the set of interactions needed to get suitably close-fitting model. The model is the final set of probabilities associated with the cells corresponding to the entire set of data patterns. Second, we take the set of counts associated with the small cells (here either 1 or 2) and disperse all of the counts across the entire set of small cells and the entire set of sampling zeros. The intent is to assure positive probability of sampling zeros in a manner that preserves most of the characteristics of the best-fitting set of interactions under purely linear constraints. Third, we place upper bounds (say 0.000004) on the probabilities associated with the originally small cells that assure that the final fitted probabilities are zero to five decimal places. Fourth, if necessary, we can place upper and lower bounds on a few of the marginal probabilities in the final fitted contingency table that deviate substantially from the marginal probabilities in the original, private data.

To create the synthetic data, we randomly draw from the contingency table probability proportional to size. If necessary, we can create multiple copies of the synthetic data.

### 2.3 Re-identification via Record Linkage

After modeling and creation of synthetic data  $\mathbf{Y}$  from the original data  $\mathbf{X}$ , we can perform re-identification experiments. To do this we merely match data  $\mathbf{Y}$  directly against data  $\mathbf{X}$ . The re-identification experiment is conservative in the sense that it that any intruder would likely have data  $\mathbf{Y1}$  that is more difficult to match against  $\mathbf{X}$  than  $\mathbf{Y}$ . In a real-world situation, the intruder would have names and other identifying information associated with individual records in data  $\mathbf{Y1}$ . Based on the worst-case re-identifications, it is possible to extrapolate downward explicit re-identifications of individual records or of overall re-identification rates. The downward extrapolation can be based on assumed typographical error rates or the record linkage metrics that are used to compare individual fields. With discrete data, we might only do exact comparison of individual fields and use an EM-latent class algorithm for estimating the best record linkage parameters. Kim and Winkler (1995) and Winkler (1998) used the EM algorithm and different field-comparison metrics for re-identification with continuous data. For convenience, we assume that we are using entire populations so that we need not extrapolate for different sampling scenarios.

Any record corresponding to a small cell in the data  $\mathbf{Y}$  that can be associated via record linkage with the correct corresponding cell in  $\mathbf{X}$  with high matching probability can be considered a re-identification. With continuous data scenarios, both Fuller (1993) and Winkler (1998) showed how to perform the matching to get explicit re-identification. Discrete-data re-identification is much more straightforward under the complete population scenario of this paper. Typically, if we randomly draw synthetic data from the model of section 2.2, *we will not get any re-identification* using record linkage. The key issue with the synthetic data is whether the synthetic data preserves a few analytic

constraints so that someone using the synthetic data  $\mathbf{Y}$  would approximately reproduce results that could be obtained from the original data  $\mathbf{X}$ .

With epsilon-privacy (e.g., Dwork 2006), individuals make similar assumptions about the best possible data  $\mathbf{Y1}$  ( or  $\mathbf{Y}$ ) that might be matched against data  $\mathbf{X}$ . Epsilon-privacy goes further in that it assures almost no leakage of information that prevents re-identification but does not presently preserve analytic properties in any clearly established manner. Ganta et al. (2008) explicitly bring in the use of auxiliary information in demonstrating that epsilon-privacy prevents any type of re-identification.

#### 2.4 The Empirical Data and Restraints Used for Modeling

Data are from the University of California at Irvine machine learning repository. The specific data set is 'Adult'. The variables (fields) downloaded were age, WorkClass (8 values), Education (16 values), MaritalStatus (7 values), Occupation (14 values), Race (5 values), Sex (2 values), and Country (41 values). For initial testing purposes, we used WorkClass (7 values), MaritalStatus (7 values), Race (5 values), and Sex (2 values) that yielded 490 ( $7 \times 7 \times 5 \times 2$ ) data patterns. There are 45221 data records and there are no missing fields within data records. WorkClass is reduced to 7 values because one of its values (NoWork) never occurs in the data set.

The data have 80 small cells having count 1 or 2, 191 cells that are sampling zeros, and 290 cells having count above 2. The total count associated with the small cells is 103. We determine that the all 3-way interaction model gives good fits with linear constraints only. We use an EM fitting procedure in which we disperse the total count of 103 associated with the small cells across all 271 ( $80 + 191$ ) cells having small or zero counts. The starting value is  $103/271$  in each cell and the expected E-values are based on the current set of the parameters from the M-step. The counts of the larger cells are not varied in the modeling because we are assuming that we will not be able to effectively re-identify individual large cells in synthetic data  $\mathbf{Y}$  randomly drawn from the model with the individual large cells in data  $\mathbf{X}$ . After the initial fitting under linear restraints, we repeat the fitting were we place additional convex constraints (upper bounds of 0.000004) on the small cells. The synthetic data is created reproducing the counts of the non-small cells and randomly sampling from the remaining cells (both small and sampling zeros) with a probability proportional to size procedure until we achieve synthetic data  $\mathbf{Y}$  of size 45221.

In earlier work, Winkler (2007) showed that the fitting and modeling methods had great flexibility in a small situation representing 48 ( $4 \times 3 \times 4$ ) cells where nearly half of the cells were structural zeros. In more recent work, Winkler (2008) showed that the modeling methods had somewhat greater flexibility in a situation with 96 ( $4 \times 3 \times 4 \times 2$ ) cells. The point is that, with the smallest situations, we have very little flexibility in the modeling to preserve the analytic properties. With more cells (490 data patterns), we have considerably greater flexibility in preserving analytic properties. With an even greater number of cells ( $580,160 = 74 \times 7 \times 7 \times 16 \times 5 \times 2$ ), we have even greater flexibility in preserving analytic properties but may encounter computational issues (10 minutes for the general fitting procedure to converge).

### 3. Results

The results presented in this section are intended to represent a small situation (490 cells or data patterns) that is still quite cumbersome to present because of the large size of the tables. We present the 490-cell situation because we believe that it is adequate for illustrating how analytic properties are preserved while significantly reducing re-identification risk.

Fitting the 3-way interaction model **M1** (with linear but no convex constraints), we have that the maximum possible likelihood is -3.234682 and that the likelihood that we achieve is -3.234982. The maximum deviation allowed by the fitting software is 0.0000000000100. If we fit with the same interaction restraints and an additional restraint with an upper bound of 0.000004 on each originally small cell (model **M2**), we get the likelihood of -3.241030 that indicates a reasonably good overall fit. As our fitting uses all 3-way interactions, we need to examine how closely the 3-way margins from the limiting solution under model M2 agree with the 3-way margins from the original data. In indexing cells, we use a lexicographic ordering in which (0,0,0,0)=0, (0,0,0,1)=1, ..., (6,6,4,1)=489. We obtain this with the mapping (a1, a2, a3, 4)=a1\*24+a2\*8+a3\*2+a4\*1. If  $X_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  variable, then  $\{X_1=i_1, X_2=i_2, X_3=i_3, X_4=i_4\} = (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$ .

Table 1 represents original and fitted probabilities associated with a few selected individual cells. It is an excerpt from the full Table A.1 given in the Appendix. A cell with a count of 1 has probability 0.00002 and a cell with count of 2 has probability 0.00004. All of the probabilities in the table are rounded to five digits. Cells 0000-0007 show that the individual cell probabilities are reasonably close to each other. Cells 0020, 0021, and 0301 have the largest deviations. Cell 0107 is an original cell with count 1 that is given a fitted probability above zero and below 0.000004. Cells 0485-0489 are sampling zeros that are given a positive probability of approximately 0.00001. When we randomly sample from Table A.1, we have positive probability of sampling each cell but originally small cells will seldom appear in the set of synthetic records. All of the greatest deviations are associated with cells that have total probability of less than 0.003. The greatest multiplicative deviation in the remaining cells is well less than 1.0. The key issue is how well are the margins preserved.

Table 1. Original and Fitted Probabilities for Selected Cells

Cell	Original	Fitted
0000 0 0 0 0	0.02859	0.02876
0001 0 0 0 1	0.25344	0.25328
0002 0 0 1 0	0.00172	0.00163
0003 0 0 1 1	0.00781	0.00790
0004 0 0 2 0	0.00031	0.00037
0005 0 0 2 1	0.00181	0.00175
0006 0 0 3 0	0.00042	0.00042
0007 0 0 3 1	0.00210	0.00210
0020 0 2 0 0	0.09670	0.09636
0021 0 2 0 1	0.12426	0.12460
0107 1 3 3 1	0.00002	0.00000
0301 4 2 0 1	0.00637	0.00610
0485 6 6 2 1	0.00000	0.00001
0486 6 6 3 0	0.00000	0.00001

0487 6 6 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0488 6 6 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0489 6 6 4 1 0.00000 0.00001

Table 2 contains a few selected marginal probabilities for variables 1, 3, and 4. The largest deviations 0.000210, 0.00105, and 0.000100 occurred at marginal cells 0067, 0014, and 0054, respectively. No other specific marginal probabilities for the other interaction patterns were this large. We also give the first eight marginal probabilities. Examination of table A.2 indicates that most marginal probabilities from the fitted data are very close to the marginal probabilities from the original data. The closeness of the marginal probabilities indicates that association-rule mining and other elementary analyses of the joint and conditional probabilities should yield results from synthetic data created from Table A.1 that agree somewhat with comparable results from the original confidential data.

Table 2. Original and Fitted 3-way Margins  
 for Selected Marginal Cells

<u>Pattern = 3, Variables 1,3,4</u>		
00000	0.205988	0.205988
00001	0.427102	0.427102
00002	0.007607	0.007589
00003	0.013511	0.013518
00004	0.002211	0.002223
00005	0.003936	0.003925
00006	0.002410	0.002423
00007	0.004179	0.004146
00014	0.000133	0.000028
00054	0.000199	0.000099
<u>00067</u>	<u>0.000000</u>	<u>0.000210</u>

#### 4. Discussion

Re-identification experiments may not be effective in proving the privacy of synthetic data produced according to the methods of this paper. The synthetic data do not appear to satisfy any rigorous type of epsilon- or epsilon-delta privacy. If a cryptographer were to reconstruct a moderate subset of the originally-private microdata from the synthetic data, then the reconstruction should prove that re-identification experiments are not valid in verifying the privacy of synthetic microdata in most situations.

Any reconstruction of the original data from the synthetic data would be computationally challenging in moderate size situations. In the 6-variable scenario, there are 588,160 data patterns, 9447 cells having counts of 1 or 2, and 3098 cells having counts of greater than 2. The total from all the cells is 45221. Because there are so many structural zeros (~98% of 588,160 possible cells), we have great flexibility in assigning positive probabilities to the sampling zero cells in a manner in which analytic properties are approximately preserved (much better than with the 490-cell example of this paper). After the random sampling, we have a synthetic data set (or multiple synthetic data sets)

in which the small counts from 9447 cells in the original private data are placed in a suitable set of sampling zero cells.

More research needs to be done to on what it means to preserve analytic properties. In particular, there needs to be more agreement among researchers on what it means to preserve analytic properties. This paper merely shows that the overall fit of the data and almost all of the 3-way margins having larger probability agree quite closely between the fitted and original data.

The computational algorithms need to be speeded up and altered. In testing on the larger data (588,160 cells), the fitting with both linear and a very simplified set of convex constraints needed 10 minutes CPU time. With a very large set of convex constraints and a variant of the current set of algorithms for the convex constraints, the fitting takes 10-100 times as long.

## 5. Concluding Remarks

This paper provides methods for modeling discrete data that generalize standard loglinear modeling to methods that also include convex constraints. When properly applied, the convex constraints allow significantly reduced chance of re-identification using record linkage methods. The synthetic data randomly drawn from the models approximately (but very closely) preserve a few analytic characteristics whereas epsilon-privacy methods (Dwork et al. 2007b, first two paragraphs of section 5) have not been demonstrated to preserve analytic properties. The synthetic data created by the methods of this paper do not necessarily satisfy epsilon-privacy or epsilon-delta-privacy (Machanavajjhala et al. 2008) but might be exceptionally difficult to re-identify using cryptographic protocols and exceptionally large amounts of computation.

1/ This report is released to inform interested parties of (ongoing) research and to encourage discussion (of work in progress). Any views expressed on (statistical, methodological, technical, or operational) issues are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the U.S. Census Bureau.

## References

- Abowd, J. M. (2008), "How Protective are Synthetic Data," *Privacy in Statistical Databases 2008*, New York: Springer.
- Agresti, A. (2007), *An Introduction to Categorical Data Analysis (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition)*, New York: J. Wiley.
- Barak, B., Chaudhuri, K., Dwork, C., Kale, S., McSherry, F., and Talwar, K. (2007), "Privacy, Accuracy, and Consistency Too: A Holistic Solution to Contingency Table Release," PODS '07, Beijing, China.
- Barcaroli, G., and Venturi, M. (1997), "DAISY (Design, Analysis and Imputation System): Structure, Methodology, and First Applications," in (J. Kovar and L. Granquist, eds.) *Statistical Data Editing, Volume II*, U.N. Economic Commission for Europe, 40-51.
- Bishop, Y. M. M., Fienberg, S. E., and Holland, P. W., (1975), *Discrete Multivariate Analysis*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- D'Orazio, M., Di Zio, M., and Scanu, M. (2006), "Statistical Matching for Categorical Data: Displaying Uncertainty and Using Logical Constraints," *Journal of Official Statistics*, 22 (1), 137-157.
- Dwork, C. (2006), "Differential Privacy," 33<sup>rd</sup> International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming – ICALP 2006, Part II, 1-12.
- Dwork, C. (2008), "Differential Privacy: A Survey of Results," in (M. Agrawal et al., eds.) TAMC 2008, LNCS 4978, 1-19.
- Dwork, C., McSherry, F., Nissim, K., and Smith, A. (2006), "Calibrating Noise to Sensitivity in Private Data Analysis," 3<sup>rd</sup> Conference on Cryptography – TCC 2006, 365-384.

- Dwork, C., McSherry, F., and Talwar, K. (2007a), "The Price of Privacy and the Limits of LP Decoding," STOC '07, San Diego, CA.
- Dwork, C., McSherry, F., and Talwar, K. (2007b), "Differentially Private Marginals Release with Mutual Consistency and Error Independent Sample Size," UNECE Worksession on Statistical Data Confidentiality, Manchester, UK, at <http://www.unece.org/stats/documents/2007/12/confidentiality/wp.19.e.pdf> .
- Dwork, C. and Yekhanin, S. (2008), "New Efficient Attacks on Statistical Disclosure Control Mechanisms," Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO 2008, to appear, also at <http://research.microsoft.com/research/sv/DatabasePrivacy/dy08.pdf> .
- Ganta, S., Prasad, S., and Smith, A. (2008), Compositional Attacks and Auxiliary Information in Data Privacy," ACM KDD '08, 265-273.
- Fellegi, I. P., and Holt, D. (1976), "A Systematic Approach to Automatic Edit and Imputation," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 71, 17-35.
- Fuller, W. A. (1993), "Masking Procedures for Microdata Disclosure Limitation," *Journal of Official Statistics*, 9, 383-406 (<http://www.jos.nu/Articles/abstract.asp?article=92383> ).
- Kim, J. J., and Winkler, W. E. (1995), "Masking Microdata Files," *American Statistical Association, Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods*, 114-119 ([http://www.amstat.org/sections/SRMS/Proceedings/papers/1995\\_017.pdf](http://www.amstat.org/sections/SRMS/Proceedings/papers/1995_017.pdf) , longer report <http://www.census.gov/srd/papers/pdf/rr97-3.pdf> ) .
- Little, R. J. A. and Rubin, D. B. (2002), *Statistic Analysis with Missing Data (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition)*, John Wiley: New York, N.Y.
- Liu, C. (2000), "Estimation of Discrete Distributions with a Class of Simplex Constraints," *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 95 (449), 109-120.
- Machanavajjhala, A., Kifer, D., Abowd, J. Gehrke, J., and Vilhuber, L. (2008), "Privacy: Theory meets Practice on the Map," ICDE 2008, 277-286.
- Meng, X.-L., and Rubin, D. B. (1993), "Maximum Likelihood via the ECM Algorithm: A General Framework," *Biometrika*, 80, 267-78.
- Winkler, W. E. (1990), "On Dykstra's Iterative Fitting Procedure," *Annals of Probability*, 18, 1410-1415.
- Winkler, W. E. (1993), "Improved Decision Rules in the Fellegi-Sunter Model of Record Linkage," *Proceedings of the Section on Survey Research Methods, American Statistical Association*, 274-279 (also <http://www.census.gov/srd/papers/pdf/rr93-12.pdf> ) .
- Winkler, W. E. (1998), "Re-identification Methods for Evaluating the Confidentiality of Analytically Valid Microdata," *Research in Official Statistics*, 1, 87-104, <http://www.census.gov/srd/papers/pdf/rrs2005-09.pdf> .
- Winkler, W. E. (2003), "A Contingency Table Model for Imputing Data Satisfying Analytic Constraints," *American Statistical Association, Proc. Survey Research Methods Section*, CD-ROM, also <http://www.census.gov/srd/papers/pdf/rrs2003-07.pdf> .
- Winkler, W.E. (2007), "Analytically Valid Discrete Microdata and Re-identification," available at <http://www.census.gov/srd/papers/pdf/rrs2007-19.pdf> .
- Winkler, W. E. (2008), "General Methods and Algorithms for Modeling and Imputing Discrete Data under a Variety of Constraints," Statistical Research Division Report RRS2008-08, available at <http://www.census.gov/srd/papers/pdf/rrs2008-08.pdf> .
- Xiao, X., and Tao, Y. (2008), "Output Perturbation with Query Relaxation," VLDB, 857-869.

## Appendix

Table A.1. Original Probabilities and Fitted Probabilities Indexed by Cell

Cell	Original	Fitted
0000 0 0 0 0	0.02859	0.02876
0001 0 0 0 1	0.25344	0.25328

0002 0 0 1 0 0.00172 0.00163  
0003 0 0 1 1 0.00781 0.00790  
0004 0 0 2 0 0.00031 0.00037  
0005 0 0 2 1 0.00181 0.00175  
0006 0 0 3 0 0.00042 0.00042  
0007 0 0 3 1 0.00210 0.00210  
0008 0 0 4 0 0.00338 0.00326  
0009 0 0 4 1 0.01451 0.01463  
0010 0 1 0 0 0.05369 0.05383  
0011 0 1 0 1 0.03602 0.03588  
0012 0 1 1 0 0.00104 0.00102  
0013 0 1 1 1 0.00038 0.00040  
0014 0 1 2 0 0.00062 0.00054  
0015 0 1 2 1 0.00042 0.00050  
0016 0 1 3 0 0.00044 0.00044  
0017 0 1 3 1 0.00022 0.00022  
0018 0 1 4 0 0.00714 0.00710  
0019 0 1 4 1 0.00307 0.00311  
0020 0 2 0 0 0.09670 0.09636  
0021 0 2 0 1 0.12426 0.12460  
0022 0 2 1 0 0.00369 0.00381  
0023 0 2 1 1 0.00462 0.00451  
0024 0 2 2 0 0.00086 0.00088  
0025 0 2 2 1 0.00148 0.00146  
0026 0 2 3 0 0.00104 0.00104  
0027 0 2 3 1 0.00150 0.00150  
0028 0 2 4 0 0.01656 0.01677  
0029 0 2 4 1 0.01486 0.01466  
0030 0 3 0 0 0.01030 0.01031  
0031 0 3 0 1 0.00692 0.00692  
0032 0 3 1 0 0.00024 0.00024  
0033 0 3 1 1 0.00020 0.00020  
0034 0 3 2 0 0.00022 0.00022  
0035 0 3 2 1 0.00009 0.00009  
0036 0 3 3 0 0.00027 0.00027  
0037 0 3 3 1 0.00011 0.00011  
0038 0 3 4 0 0.00418 0.00418  
0039 0 3 4 1 0.00190 0.00190  
0040 0 4 0 0 0.01320 0.01321  
0041 0 4 0 1 0.00268 0.00267  
0042 0 4 1 0 0.00046 0.00046  
0043 0 4 1 1 0.00009 0.00009  
0044 0 4 2 0 0.00013 0.00013  
0045 0 4 2 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0046 0 4 3 0 0.00009 0.00009  
0047 0 4 3 1 0.00004 0.00000  
0048 0 4 4 0 0.00232 0.00232  
0049 0 4 4 1 0.00035 0.00036  
0050 0 5 0 0 0.00318 0.00322  
0051 0 5 0 1 0.00363 0.00359  
0052 0 5 1 0 0.00042 0.00042  
0053 0 5 1 1 0.00042 0.00042  
0054 0 5 2 0 0.00007 0.00007  
0055 0 5 2 1 0.00011 0.00011  
0056 0 5 3 0 0.00015 0.00015  
0057 0 5 3 1 0.00020 0.00020

0058 0 5 4 0 0.00088 0.00085  
0059 0 5 4 1 0.00035 0.00039  
0060 0 6 0 0 0.00031 0.00031  
0061 0 6 0 1 0.00015 0.00015  
0062 0 6 1 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0063 0 6 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0064 0 6 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0065 0 6 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0066 0 6 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0067 0 6 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0068 0 6 4 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0069 0 6 4 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0070 1 0 0 0 0.00394 0.00388  
0071 1 0 0 1 0.04869 0.04875  
0072 1 0 1 0 0.00007 0.00012  
0073 1 0 1 1 0.00119 0.00114  
0074 1 0 2 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0075 1 0 2 1 0.00042 0.00042  
0076 1 0 3 0 0.00000 0.00002  
0077 1 0 3 1 0.00020 0.00020  
0078 1 0 4 0 0.00015 0.00016  
0079 1 0 4 1 0.00095 0.00095  
0080 1 1 0 0 0.00312 0.00314  
0081 1 1 0 1 0.00551 0.00548  
0082 1 1 1 0 0.00009 0.00007  
0083 1 1 1 1 0.00009 0.00010  
0084 1 1 2 0 0.00004 0.00000  
0085 1 1 2 1 0.00007 0.00007  
0086 1 1 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0087 1 1 3 1 0.00004 0.00000  
0088 1 1 4 0 0.00020 0.00019  
0089 1 1 4 1 0.00020 0.00021  
0090 1 2 0 0 0.00281 0.00284  
0091 1 2 0 1 0.00887 0.00884  
0092 1 2 1 0 0.00011 0.00008  
0093 1 2 1 1 0.00027 0.00030  
0094 1 2 2 0 0.00004 0.00000  
0095 1 2 2 1 0.00011 0.00011  
0096 1 2 3 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0097 1 2 3 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0098 1 2 4 0 0.00031 0.00032  
0099 1 2 4 1 0.00064 0.00063  
0100 1 3 0 0 0.00062 0.00062  
0101 1 3 0 1 0.00100 0.00100  
0102 1 3 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0103 1 3 1 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0104 1 3 2 0 0.00000 0.00000  
0105 1 3 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0106 1 3 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0107 1 3 3 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0108 1 3 4 0 0.00004 0.00000  
0109 1 3 4 1 0.00015 0.00015  
0110 1 4 0 0 0.00153 0.00153  
0111 1 4 0 1 0.00106 0.00106  
0112 1 4 1 0 0.00007 0.00007  
0113 1 4 1 1 0.00000 0.00006

0114 1 4 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0115 1 4 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0116 1 4 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0117 1 4 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0118 1 4 4 0 0.00009 0.00009  
0119 1 4 4 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0120 1 5 0 0 0.00031 0.00031  
0121 1 5 0 1 0.00055 0.00055  
0122 1 5 1 0 0.00000 0.00004  
0123 1 5 1 1 0.00009 0.00009  
0124 1 5 2 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0125 1 5 2 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0126 1 5 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0127 1 5 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0128 1 5 4 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0129 1 5 4 1 0.00004 0.00000  
0130 1 6 0 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0131 1 6 0 1 0.00004 0.00000  
0132 1 6 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0133 1 6 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0134 1 6 2 0 0.00000 0.00000  
0135 1 6 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0136 1 6 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0137 1 6 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0138 1 6 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0139 1 6 4 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0140 2 0 0 0 0.00126 0.00125  
0141 2 0 0 1 0.02430 0.02431  
0142 2 0 1 0 0.00015 0.00016  
0143 2 0 1 1 0.00077 0.00077  
0144 2 0 2 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0145 2 0 2 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0146 2 0 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0147 2 0 3 1 0.00009 0.00009  
0148 2 0 4 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0149 2 0 4 1 0.00044 0.00044  
0150 2 1 0 0 0.00093 0.00093  
0151 2 1 0 1 0.00197 0.00197  
0152 2 1 1 0 0.00004 0.00000  
0153 2 1 1 1 0.00007 0.00007  
0154 2 1 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0155 2 1 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0156 2 1 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0157 2 1 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0158 2 1 4 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0159 2 1 4 1 0.00013 0.00013  
0160 2 2 0 0 0.00102 0.00102  
0161 2 2 0 1 0.00321 0.00320  
0162 2 2 1 0 0.00007 0.00006  
0163 2 2 1 1 0.00007 0.00007  
0164 2 2 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0165 2 2 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0166 2 2 3 0 0.00000 0.00002  
0167 2 2 3 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0168 2 2 4 0 0.00007 0.00007  
0169 2 2 4 1 0.00013 0.00013

0170 2 3 0 0 0.00018 0.00018  
0171 2 3 0 1 0.00035 0.00035  
0172 2 3 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0173 2 3 1 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0174 2 3 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0175 2 3 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0176 2 3 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0177 2 3 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0178 2 3 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0179 2 3 4 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0180 2 4 0 0 0.00055 0.00055  
0181 2 4 0 1 0.00027 0.00027  
0182 2 4 1 0 0.00000 0.00003  
0183 2 4 1 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0184 2 4 2 0 0.00000 0.00002  
0185 2 4 2 1 0.00000 0.00000  
0186 2 4 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0187 2 4 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0188 2 4 4 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0189 2 4 4 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0190 2 5 0 0 0.00004 0.00000  
0191 2 5 0 1 0.00009 0.00009  
0192 2 5 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0193 2 5 1 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0194 2 5 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0195 2 5 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0196 2 5 3 0 0.00000 0.00000  
0197 2 5 3 1 0.00000 0.00002  
0198 2 5 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0199 2 5 4 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0200 2 6 0 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0201 2 6 0 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0202 2 6 1 0 0.00000 0.00002  
0203 2 6 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0204 2 6 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0205 2 6 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0206 2 6 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0207 2 6 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0208 2 6 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0209 2 6 4 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0210 3 0 0 0 0.00093 0.00086  
0211 3 0 0 1 0.01176 0.01183  
0212 3 0 1 0 0.00013 0.00013  
0213 3 0 1 1 0.00075 0.00075  
0214 3 0 2 0 0.00007 0.00006  
0215 3 0 2 1 0.00018 0.00018  
0216 3 0 3 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0217 3 0 3 1 0.00004 0.00000  
0218 3 0 4 0 0.00018 0.00025  
0219 3 0 4 1 0.00157 0.00150  
0220 3 1 0 0 0.00190 0.00202  
0221 3 1 0 1 0.00170 0.00159  
0222 3 1 1 0 0.00009 0.00009  
0223 3 1 1 1 0.00004 0.00000  
0224 3 1 2 0 0.00013 0.00013  
0225 3 1 2 1 0.00004 0.00000

0226 3 1 3 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0227 3 1 3 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0228 3 1 4 0 0.00097 0.00086  
0229 3 1 4 1 0.00027 0.00038  
0230 3 2 0 0 0.00243 0.00240  
0231 3 2 0 1 0.00305 0.00308  
0232 3 2 1 0 0.00013 0.00013  
0233 3 2 1 1 0.00011 0.00011  
0234 3 2 2 0 0.00011 0.00012  
0235 3 2 2 1 0.00009 0.00008  
0236 3 2 3 0 0.00004 0.00000  
0237 3 2 3 1 0.00004 0.00000  
0238 3 2 4 0 0.00108 0.00111  
0239 3 2 4 1 0.00086 0.00084  
0240 3 3 0 0 0.00022 0.00020  
0241 3 3 0 1 0.00013 0.00015  
0242 3 3 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0243 3 3 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0244 3 3 2 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0245 3 3 2 1 0.00000 0.00000  
0246 3 3 3 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0247 3 3 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0248 3 3 4 0 0.00029 0.00031  
0249 3 3 4 1 0.00015 0.00014  
0250 3 4 0 0 0.00064 0.00064  
0251 3 4 0 1 0.00020 0.00020  
0252 3 4 1 0 0.00000 0.00002  
0253 3 4 1 1 0.00000 0.00000  
0254 3 4 2 0 0.00009 0.00009  
0255 3 4 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0256 3 4 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0257 3 4 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0258 3 4 4 0 0.00011 0.00011  
0259 3 4 4 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0260 3 5 0 0 0.00013 0.00013  
0261 3 5 0 1 0.00007 0.00007  
0262 3 5 1 0 0.00000 0.00002  
0263 3 5 1 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0264 3 5 2 0 0.00000 0.00002  
0265 3 5 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0266 3 5 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0267 3 5 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0268 3 5 4 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0269 3 5 4 1 0.00009 0.00009  
0270 3 6 0 0 0.00004 0.00000  
0271 3 6 0 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0272 3 6 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0273 3 6 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0274 3 6 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0275 3 6 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0276 3 6 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0277 3 6 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0278 3 6 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0279 3 6 4 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0280 4 0 0 0 0.00433 0.00428  
0281 4 0 0 1 0.02508 0.02513

0282 4 0 1 0 0.00004 0.00000  
0283 4 0 1 1 0.00055 0.00055  
0284 4 0 2 0 0.00015 0.00010  
0285 4 0 2 1 0.00029 0.00034  
0286 4 0 3 0 0.00000 0.00002  
0287 4 0 3 1 0.00013 0.00013  
0288 4 0 4 0 0.00053 0.00064  
0289 4 0 4 1 0.00248 0.00237  
0290 4 1 0 0 0.00705 0.00685  
0291 4 1 0 1 0.00230 0.00250  
0292 4 1 1 0 0.00009 0.00013  
0293 4 1 1 1 0.00009 0.00005  
0294 4 1 2 0 0.00015 0.00023  
0295 4 1 2 1 0.00020 0.00012  
0296 4 1 3 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0297 4 1 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0298 4 1 4 0 0.00119 0.00128  
0299 4 1 4 1 0.00046 0.00038  
0300 4 2 0 0 0.00688 0.00715  
0301 4 2 0 1 0.00637 0.00610  
0302 4 2 1 0 0.00020 0.00016  
0303 4 2 1 1 0.00020 0.00024  
0304 4 2 2 0 0.00029 0.00026  
0305 4 2 2 1 0.00027 0.00029  
0306 4 2 3 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0307 4 2 3 1 0.00004 0.00000  
0308 4 2 4 0 0.00208 0.00187  
0309 4 2 4 1 0.00113 0.00133  
0310 4 3 0 0 0.00069 0.00071  
0311 4 3 0 1 0.00049 0.00046  
0312 4 3 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0313 4 3 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0314 4 3 2 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0315 4 3 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0316 4 3 3 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0317 4 3 3 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0318 4 3 4 0 0.00060 0.00057  
0319 4 3 4 1 0.00029 0.00031  
0320 4 4 0 0 0.00215 0.00214  
0321 4 4 0 1 0.00035 0.00036  
0322 4 4 1 0 0.00007 0.00007  
0323 4 4 1 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0324 4 4 2 0 0.00007 0.00007  
0325 4 4 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0326 4 4 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0327 4 4 3 1 0.00000 0.00000  
0328 4 4 4 0 0.00038 0.00038  
0329 4 4 4 1 0.00007 0.00006  
0330 4 5 0 0 0.00031 0.00028  
0331 4 5 0 1 0.00013 0.00017  
0332 4 5 1 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0333 4 5 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0334 4 5 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0335 4 5 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0336 4 5 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0337 4 5 3 1 0.00000 0.00001

0338 4 5 4 0 0.00015 0.00019  
0339 4 5 4 1 0.00009 0.00006  
0340 4 6 0 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0341 4 6 0 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0342 4 6 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0343 4 6 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0344 4 6 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0345 4 6 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0346 4 6 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0347 4 6 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0348 4 6 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0349 4 6 4 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0350 5 0 0 0 0.00179 0.00181  
0351 5 0 0 1 0.01468 0.01466  
0352 5 0 1 0 0.00011 0.00014  
0353 5 0 1 1 0.00088 0.00085  
0354 5 0 2 0 0.00004 0.00000  
0355 5 0 2 1 0.00022 0.00022  
0356 5 0 3 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0357 5 0 3 1 0.00007 0.00007  
0358 5 0 4 0 0.00042 0.00037  
0359 5 0 4 1 0.00102 0.00107  
0360 5 1 0 0 0.00374 0.00366  
0361 5 1 0 1 0.00190 0.00198  
0362 5 1 1 0 0.00015 0.00015  
0363 5 1 1 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0364 5 1 2 0 0.00007 0.00007  
0365 5 1 2 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0366 5 1 3 0 0.00007 0.00007  
0367 5 1 3 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0368 5 1 4 0 0.00066 0.00074  
0369 5 1 4 1 0.00027 0.00019  
0370 5 2 0 0 0.00551 0.00557  
0371 5 2 0 1 0.00540 0.00533  
0372 5 2 1 0 0.00029 0.00025  
0373 5 2 1 1 0.00027 0.00030  
0374 5 2 2 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0375 5 2 2 1 0.00007 0.00007  
0376 5 2 3 0 0.00007 0.00007  
0377 5 2 3 1 0.00004 0.00000  
0378 5 2 4 0 0.00155 0.00152  
0379 5 2 4 1 0.00066 0.00069  
0380 5 3 0 0 0.00062 0.00061  
0381 5 3 0 1 0.00038 0.00038  
0382 5 3 1 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0383 5 3 1 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0384 5 3 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0385 5 3 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0386 5 3 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0387 5 3 3 1 0.00000 0.00000  
0388 5 3 4 0 0.00027 0.00027  
0389 5 3 4 1 0.00009 0.00008  
0390 5 4 0 0 0.00073 0.00073  
0391 5 4 0 1 0.00004 0.00000  
0392 5 4 1 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0393 5 4 1 1 0.00000 0.00000

0394 5 4 2 0 0.00004 0.00000  
0395 5 4 2 1 0.00000 0.00000  
0396 5 4 3 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0397 5 4 3 1 0.00000 0.00000  
0398 5 4 4 0 0.00013 0.00013  
0399 5 4 4 1 0.00004 0.00000  
0400 5 5 0 0 0.00018 0.00018  
0401 5 5 0 1 0.00018 0.00018  
0402 5 5 1 0 0.00007 0.00007  
0403 5 5 1 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0404 5 5 2 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0405 5 5 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0406 5 5 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0407 5 5 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0408 5 5 4 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0409 5 5 4 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0410 5 6 0 0 0.00004 0.00000  
0411 5 6 0 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0412 5 6 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0413 5 6 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0414 5 6 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0415 5 6 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0416 5 6 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0417 5 6 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0418 5 6 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0419 5 6 4 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0420 6 0 0 0 0.00009 0.00009  
0421 6 0 0 1 0.00018 0.00018  
0422 6 0 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0423 6 0 1 1 0.00002 0.00000  
0424 6 0 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0425 6 0 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0426 6 0 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0427 6 0 3 1 0.00000 0.00002  
0428 6 0 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0429 6 0 4 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0430 6 1 0 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0431 6 1 0 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0432 6 1 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0433 6 1 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0434 6 1 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0435 6 1 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0436 6 1 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0437 6 1 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0438 6 1 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0439 6 1 4 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0440 6 2 0 0 0.00002 0.00000  
0441 6 2 0 1 0.00009 0.00009  
0442 6 2 1 0 0.00000 0.00000  
0443 6 2 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0444 6 2 2 0 0.00000 0.00000  
0445 6 2 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
0446 6 2 3 0 0.00000 0.00002  
0447 6 2 3 1 0.00000 0.00013  
0448 6 2 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
0449 6 2 4 1 0.00002 0.00000

0450 6 3 0 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0451 6 3 0 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0452 6 3 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0453 6 3 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0454 6 3 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0455 6 3 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0456 6 3 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0457 6 3 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0458 6 3 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0459 6 3 4 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0460 6 4 0 0 0.00002 0.00000  
 0461 6 4 0 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0462 6 4 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0463 6 4 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0464 6 4 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0465 6 4 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0466 6 4 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0467 6 4 3 1 0.00000 0.00002  
 0468 6 4 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0469 6 4 4 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0470 6 5 0 0 0.00002 0.00000  
 0471 6 5 0 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0472 6 5 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0473 6 5 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0474 6 5 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0475 6 5 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0476 6 5 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0477 6 5 3 1 0.00000 0.00002  
 0478 6 5 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0479 6 5 4 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0480 6 6 0 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0481 6 6 0 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0482 6 6 1 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0483 6 6 1 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0484 6 6 2 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0485 6 6 2 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0486 6 6 3 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0487 6 6 3 1 0.00000 0.00001  
 0488 6 6 4 0 0.00000 0.00001  
 0489 6 6 4 1 0.00000 0.00001

Maxdiff1= 0.000340 at pattern=0020

Maxdiff2= 0.000340 at pattern=0021

Maxdiff3= 0.000272 at pattern=0301

Table A.2. 3-way Margins associated with Original and Fitted Data

---

Pattern = 0, Variables 1,2,3  
 00000 0.282037 0.282037  
 00001 0.009531 0.009531  
 00002 0.002123 0.002123  
 00003 0.002521 0.002521  
 00004 0.017890 0.017890

00005 0.089715 0.089715  
00006 0.001415 0.001415  
00007 0.001039 0.001039  
00008 0.000663 0.000663  
00009 0.010216 0.010216  
00010 0.220959 0.220959  
00011 0.008315 0.008315  
00012 0.002344 0.002344  
00013 0.002543 0.002544  
00014 0.031423 0.031423  
00015 0.017227 0.017227  
00016 0.000442 0.000442  
00017 0.000310 0.000310  
00018 0.000376 0.000376  
00019 0.006081 0.006081  
00020 0.015878 0.015878  
00021 0.000553 0.000553  
00022 0.000155 0.000137  
00023 0.000133 0.000092  
00024 0.002676 0.002676  
00025 0.006811 0.006811  
00026 0.000840 0.000840  
00027 0.000177 0.000177  
00028 0.000354 0.000354  
00029 0.001238 0.001238  
00030 0.000464 0.000464  
00031 0.000022 0.000010  
00032 0.000000 0.000018  
00033 0.000000 0.000019  
00034 0.000044 0.000007  
00035 0.052630 0.052630  
00036 0.001260 0.001260  
00037 0.000442 0.000424  
00038 0.000199 0.000219  
00039 0.001106 0.001106  
00040 0.008624 0.008624  
00041 0.000177 0.000177  
00042 0.000111 0.000070  
00043 0.000044 0.000010  
00044 0.000398 0.000398  
00045 0.011676 0.011676  
00046 0.000376 0.000376  
00047 0.000155 0.000114  
00048 0.000044 0.000008  
00049 0.000951 0.000951  
00050 0.001614 0.001614  
00051 0.000022 0.000009  
00052 0.000000 0.000014  
00053 0.000022 0.000011  
00054 0.000199 0.000159  
00055 0.002587 0.002587  
00056 0.000066 0.000127  
00057 0.000000 0.000013  
00058 0.000000 0.000019  
00059 0.000111 0.000092  
00060 0.000862 0.000862

00061 0.000088 0.000128  
00062 0.000044 0.000006  
00063 0.000000 0.000019  
00064 0.000066 0.000007  
00065 0.000066 0.000007  
00066 0.000000 0.000018  
00067 0.000000 0.000015  
00068 0.000000 0.000018  
00069 0.000000 0.000020  
00070 0.025563 0.025563  
00071 0.000929 0.000929  
00072 0.000044 0.000006  
00073 0.000088 0.000096  
00074 0.000464 0.000446  
00075 0.002897 0.002897  
00076 0.000111 0.000070  
00077 0.000000 0.000019  
00078 0.000000 0.000017  
00079 0.000155 0.000137  
00080 0.004224 0.004224  
00081 0.000133 0.000133  
00082 0.000000 0.000018  
00083 0.000022 0.000026  
00084 0.000199 0.000199  
00085 0.000531 0.000531  
00086 0.000022 0.000015  
00087 0.000000 0.000018  
00088 0.000000 0.000016  
00089 0.000000 0.000020  
00090 0.000818 0.000818  
00091 0.000022 0.000030  
00092 0.000000 0.000023  
00093 0.000000 0.000018  
00094 0.000022 0.000009  
00095 0.000133 0.000092  
00096 0.000022 0.000013  
00097 0.000000 0.000018  
00098 0.000000 0.000019  
00099 0.000000 0.000018  
00100 0.000000 0.000018  
00101 0.000000 0.000027  
00102 0.000000 0.000020  
00103 0.000000 0.000018  
00104 0.000000 0.000021  
00105 0.012693 0.012693  
00106 0.000885 0.000885  
00107 0.000243 0.000243  
00108 0.000066 0.000006  
00109 0.001747 0.001747  
00110 0.003605 0.003605  
00111 0.000133 0.000092  
00112 0.000177 0.000137  
00113 0.000044 0.000007  
00114 0.001238 0.001238  
00115 0.005484 0.005484  
00116 0.000243 0.000243

00117 0.000199 0.000199  
00118 0.000088 0.000008  
00119 0.001946 0.001946  
00120 0.000354 0.000354  
00121 0.000000 0.000020  
00122 0.000022 0.000007  
00123 0.000022 0.000010  
00124 0.000442 0.000442  
00125 0.000840 0.000840  
00126 0.000000 0.000021  
00127 0.000088 0.000098  
00128 0.000000 0.000017  
00129 0.000133 0.000114  
00130 0.000199 0.000199  
00131 0.000022 0.000021  
00132 0.000000 0.000022  
00133 0.000000 0.000019  
00134 0.000111 0.000092  
00135 0.000066 0.000007  
00136 0.000000 0.000020  
00137 0.000000 0.000020  
00138 0.000000 0.000019  
00139 0.000000 0.000019  
00140 0.029411 0.029411  
00141 0.000597 0.000557  
00142 0.000442 0.000442  
00143 0.000133 0.000149  
00144 0.003007 0.003007  
00145 0.009354 0.009354  
00146 0.000177 0.000177  
00147 0.000354 0.000354  
00148 0.000022 0.000009  
00149 0.001659 0.001659  
00150 0.013246 0.013246  
00151 0.000398 0.000398  
00152 0.000553 0.000553  
00153 0.000066 0.000008  
00154 0.003206 0.003206  
00155 0.001172 0.001172  
00156 0.000000 0.000017  
00157 0.000022 0.000009  
00158 0.000044 0.000007  
00159 0.000885 0.000885  
00160 0.002499 0.002499  
00161 0.000088 0.000070  
00162 0.000066 0.000076  
00163 0.000000 0.000013  
00164 0.000442 0.000442  
00165 0.000442 0.000442  
00166 0.000022 0.000012  
00167 0.000000 0.000017  
00168 0.000000 0.000015  
00169 0.000243 0.000243  
00170 0.000000 0.000019  
00171 0.000000 0.000018  
00172 0.000000 0.000019

00173 0.000000 0.000017  
00174 0.000000 0.000018  
00175 0.016475 0.016475  
00176 0.000995 0.000995  
00177 0.000265 0.000225  
00178 0.000088 0.000068  
00179 0.001437 0.001437  
00180 0.005639 0.005639  
00181 0.000177 0.000159  
00182 0.000088 0.000070  
00183 0.000088 0.000070  
00184 0.000929 0.000929  
00185 0.010902 0.010902  
00186 0.000553 0.000553  
00187 0.000088 0.000070  
00188 0.000111 0.000070  
00189 0.002211 0.002211  
00190 0.000995 0.000995  
00191 0.000044 0.000007  
00192 0.000000 0.000017  
00193 0.000000 0.000013  
00194 0.000354 0.000354  
00195 0.000774 0.000734  
00196 0.000022 0.000005  
00197 0.000044 0.000005  
00198 0.000022 0.000003  
00199 0.000177 0.000136  
00200 0.000354 0.000354  
00201 0.000088 0.000070  
00202 0.000022 0.000016  
00203 0.000000 0.000014  
00204 0.000044 0.000007  
00205 0.000044 0.000013  
00206 0.000000 0.000018  
00207 0.000000 0.000018  
00208 0.000000 0.000014  
00209 0.000000 0.000018  
00210 0.000265 0.000265  
00211 0.000022 0.000015  
00212 0.000000 0.000022  
00213 0.000000 0.000024  
00214 0.000000 0.000023  
00215 0.000000 0.000019  
00216 0.000000 0.000018  
00217 0.000000 0.000019  
00218 0.000000 0.000020  
00219 0.000000 0.000018  
00220 0.000111 0.000092  
00221 0.000000 0.000018  
00222 0.000000 0.000018  
00223 0.000000 0.000145  
00224 0.000022 0.000009  
00225 0.000000 0.000019  
00226 0.000000 0.000019  
00227 0.000000 0.000018  
00228 0.000000 0.000019

00229 0.000000 0.000018  
00230 0.000022 0.000014  
00231 0.000000 0.000018  
00232 0.000000 0.000018  
00233 0.000000 0.000025  
00234 0.000000 0.000017  
00235 0.000022 0.000014  
00236 0.000000 0.000018  
00237 0.000000 0.000018  
00238 0.000000 0.000022  
00239 0.000000 0.000019  
00240 0.000000 0.000018  
00241 0.000000 0.000018  
00242 0.000000 0.000018  
00243 0.000000 0.000021  
00244 0.000000 0.000019  
Maxdiff1= 0.000145 at pattern=0223  
Maxdiff2= 0.000080 at pattern=0118  
Maxdiff3= 0.000061 at pattern=0056

Pattern = 1, Variables 1,2,4  
00000 0.034431 0.034431  
00001 0.279671 0.279671  
00002 0.062935 0.062935  
00003 0.040114 0.040114  
00004 0.118861 0.118861  
00005 0.146724 0.146724  
00006 0.015214 0.015214  
00007 0.009221 0.009221  
00008 0.016209 0.016209  
00009 0.003184 0.003126  
00010 0.004710 0.004710  
00011 0.004710 0.004710  
00012 0.000354 0.000341  
00013 0.000177 0.000179  
00014 0.004179 0.004182  
00015 0.051458 0.051458  
00016 0.003450 0.003415  
00017 0.005904 0.005864  
00018 0.003295 0.003236  
00019 0.009907 0.009889  
00020 0.000663 0.000640  
00021 0.001194 0.001167  
00022 0.001681 0.001695  
00023 0.001084 0.001145  
00024 0.000354 0.000360  
00025 0.000708 0.000663  
00026 0.000022 0.000033  
00027 0.000044 0.000045  
00028 0.001459 0.001429  
00029 0.025630 0.025611  
00030 0.000995 0.000951  
00031 0.002167 0.002189  
00032 0.001150 0.001178  
00033 0.003428 0.003421  
00034 0.000177 0.000216

00035 0.000376 0.000385  
00036 0.000575 0.000613  
00037 0.000287 0.000286  
00038 0.000044 0.000030  
00039 0.000111 0.000130  
00040 0.000000 0.000059  
00041 0.000000 0.000045  
00042 0.001327 0.001306  
00043 0.014308 0.014267  
00044 0.003118 0.003099  
00045 0.002079 0.001980  
00046 0.003804 0.003763  
00047 0.004157 0.004117  
00048 0.000553 0.000527  
00049 0.000287 0.000306  
00050 0.000840 0.000868  
00051 0.000221 0.000223  
00052 0.000155 0.000181  
00053 0.000177 0.000172  
00054 0.000044 0.000044  
00055 0.000022 0.000041  
00056 0.005064 0.005040  
00057 0.028527 0.028527  
00058 0.008514 0.008495  
00059 0.003052 0.003057  
00060 0.009465 0.009447  
00061 0.008005 0.007965  
00062 0.001327 0.001297  
00063 0.000796 0.000794  
00064 0.002654 0.002662  
00065 0.000442 0.000438  
00066 0.000486 0.000483  
00067 0.000221 0.000247  
00068 0.000000 0.000038  
00069 0.000000 0.000053  
00070 0.002388 0.002328  
00071 0.016873 0.016873  
00072 0.004688 0.004688  
00073 0.002233 0.002179  
00074 0.007430 0.007412  
00075 0.006435 0.006395  
00076 0.000907 0.000907  
00077 0.000486 0.000479  
00078 0.000951 0.000872  
00079 0.000088 0.000011  
00080 0.000287 0.000256  
00081 0.000221 0.000206  
00082 0.000044 0.000034  
00083 0.000000 0.000048  
00084 0.000088 0.000132  
00085 0.000199 0.000216  
00086 0.000000 0.000047  
00087 0.000000 0.000047  
00088 0.000022 0.000038  
00089 0.000111 0.000245  
00090 0.000000 0.000047

00091 0.000000 0.000046  
00092 0.000022 0.000040  
00093 0.000000 0.000052  
00094 0.000022 0.000039  
00095 0.000000 0.000051  
00096 0.000000 0.000044  
00097 0.000000 0.000051  
Maxdiff1= 0.000134 at pattern=0089  
Maxdiff2= 0.000099 at pattern=0045  
Maxdiff3= 0.000079 at pattern=0078

Pattern = 2, Variables 2,3,4

00000 0.040932 0.040932  
00001 0.378143 0.378143  
00002 0.002233 0.002205  
00003 0.011986 0.011967  
00004 0.000619 0.000554  
00005 0.002941 0.002932  
00006 0.000464 0.000477  
00007 0.002632 0.002606  
00008 0.004688 0.004681  
00009 0.020964 0.020975  
00010 0.070432 0.070440  
00011 0.049402 0.049412  
00012 0.001504 0.001475  
00013 0.000686 0.000633  
00014 0.001017 0.000993  
00015 0.000752 0.000715  
00016 0.000553 0.000536  
00017 0.000310 0.000261  
00018 0.010194 0.010186  
00019 0.004401 0.004409  
00020 0.115367 0.115348  
00021 0.151235 0.151235  
00022 0.004489 0.004494  
00023 0.005528 0.005541  
00024 0.001327 0.001279  
00025 0.002012 0.002038  
00026 0.001194 0.001160  
00027 0.001681 0.001650  
00028 0.021649 0.021654  
00029 0.018310 0.018292  
00030 0.012627 0.012635  
00031 0.009266 0.009276  
00032 0.000265 0.000291  
00033 0.000265 0.000239  
00034 0.000265 0.000267  
00035 0.000088 0.000126  
00036 0.000310 0.000303  
00037 0.000155 0.000151  
00038 0.005374 0.005352  
00039 0.002587 0.002607  
00040 0.018819 0.018800  
00041 0.004600 0.004569  
00042 0.000619 0.000654  
00043 0.000133 0.000171

00044 0.000332 0.000326  
00045 0.000022 0.000043  
00046 0.000111 0.000135  
00047 0.000044 0.000052  
00048 0.003052 0.003042  
00049 0.000509 0.000445  
00050 0.004179 0.004121  
00051 0.004644 0.004654  
00052 0.000509 0.000567  
00053 0.000575 0.000536  
00054 0.000111 0.000111  
00055 0.000133 0.000163  
00056 0.000155 0.000191  
00057 0.000199 0.000271  
00058 0.001106 0.001071  
00059 0.000597 0.000554  
00060 0.000420 0.000349  
00061 0.000221 0.000198  
00062 0.000022 0.000063  
00063 0.000000 0.000067  
00064 0.000000 0.000067  
00065 0.000000 0.000062  
00066 0.000000 0.000054  
00067 0.000000 0.000072  
00068 0.000022 0.000060  
00069 0.000022 0.000063  
Maxdiff1= 0.000072 at pattern=0067  
Maxdiff2= 0.000072 at pattern=0057  
Maxdiff3= 0.000071 at pattern=0060

Pattern = 3, Variables 1,3,4

00000 0.205988 0.205988  
00001 0.427102 0.427102  
00002 0.007607 0.007589  
00003 0.013511 0.013518  
00004 0.002211 0.002223  
00005 0.003936 0.003925  
00006 0.002410 0.002423  
00007 0.004179 0.004146  
00008 0.034497 0.034479  
00009 0.035072 0.035054  
00010 0.012339 0.012321  
00011 0.065722 0.065681  
00012 0.000332 0.000384  
00013 0.001659 0.001712  
00014 0.000133 0.000028  
00015 0.000619 0.000629  
00016 0.000022 0.000059  
00017 0.000287 0.000246  
00018 0.000818 0.000770  
00019 0.002012 0.001964  
00020 0.003980 0.003950  
00021 0.030185 0.030194  
00022 0.000265 0.000290  
00023 0.000973 0.000926  
00024 0.000022 0.000065

00025 0.000022 0.000057  
00026 0.000000 0.000065  
00027 0.000111 0.000145  
00028 0.000133 0.000105  
00029 0.000708 0.000745  
00030 0.006302 0.006262  
00031 0.016939 0.016921  
00032 0.000354 0.000412  
00033 0.000929 0.000890  
00034 0.000420 0.000432  
00035 0.000310 0.000295  
00036 0.000111 0.000038  
00037 0.000111 0.000046  
00038 0.002654 0.002645  
00039 0.002963 0.002954  
00040 0.021406 0.021416  
00041 0.034718 0.034727  
00042 0.000420 0.000375  
00043 0.000862 0.000875  
00044 0.000686 0.000685  
00045 0.000752 0.000785  
00046 0.000066 0.000047  
00047 0.000199 0.000172  
00048 0.004931 0.004939  
00049 0.004511 0.004522  
00050 0.012605 0.012564  
00051 0.022578 0.022547  
00052 0.000663 0.000634  
00053 0.001216 0.001174  
00054 0.000199 0.000099  
00055 0.000310 0.000322  
00056 0.000177 0.000155  
00057 0.000133 0.000097  
00058 0.003052 0.003043  
00059 0.002101 0.002050  
00060 0.000155 0.000125  
00061 0.000265 0.000316  
00062 0.000000 0.000065  
00063 0.000022 0.000059  
00064 0.000000 0.000065  
00065 0.000000 0.000065  
00066 0.000000 0.000065  
00067 0.000000 0.000210  
00068 0.000000 0.000065  
00069 0.000022 0.000057

Maxdiff1= 0.000210 at pattern=0067

Maxdiff2= 0.000105 at pattern=0014

Maxdiff3= 0.000100 at pattern=0054